(घ) यदि हां, तो वे लक्ष्य क्या हैं और वर्ष 1991–92, 1992–93 और 1993–94 के दौरान वास्तव में क्या उपलब्धियां हुई हैं ?

जल संसाधन मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री पी.वी रंगैया नायडू) : (क) जी, हां ।

(ख) वर्ष 1991–92, 1992–93 तथा 1993–94 के दौरान बाढ़ नियंत्रण सहित जल संसाधन क्षेत्र में वार्षिक रूप से आबंटित की गई राशि और व्यय का ब्यौरा इस प्रकार है :

(करोड़ रुपए)

वर्ष	आबंटित की गई राशि	व्यय
1991–92	4699.24	4232.04
1992–93	4934.83	4705.41
1993–94	5857.85	5006.01 प्रत्याशित

(ग) और (घ) वर्ष 1991–92, 1992–93 तथा 1993–94 के दौरान सिंचाई योजनाओं के माध्यम से सिंचाई क्षमता के सृजन के संबंध में लक्ष्यों और उपलब्धियों का ब्यौरा इस प्रकार है :

(मिलियन हेक्टेयर)

वर्ष	लक्ष्य	उपलब्धियां
1991–92	2.67	2.31
1992–93	2.71	1.92
1993–94	2.69	2.04 (प्रत्याशित)

Seminar on review of Sardar Sarovar Project

3015. SHRIMATI KAMLA SINHA:
DR. BAPU KALDATE:

Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to state:

(a) whether a seminar on 'Report on the review of Sardar Sarover project and implications for development planning' was recently held in Delhi;

(b) if so, what are the details of the suggestions/opinions of experts participating in the seminar; and

(c) the reaction of Government with regard thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) No, Sir. However in a meeting held at the Gandhi Peace Foundation, Delhi on January, 7 and 8, 1995 the report of the Five Member Group on Sardar Sarovar Project was discussed.

(b) The participants in the meeting have suggested setting up of an Expert Group of independent scientists, economists and finance specialists to go into all aspects of the Project and reassess its practicability, cost benefits and implications. They have also suggested that pending the reassessment of the project by the Expert Group, further construction work on the project as well as further financial allocation for the project should be suspended.

(c) The project has been thoroughly investigated and approved by the Planning Commission for implementation. It was also appraised and accepted for financing by the World Bank. Government of India had set up a Five Member group which had gone into the details of the project. The matter including the report of the Five Member Group, is new pending before the Supreme Court. Therefore, proposed reassessment is not considered necesary.